# Competition Conditions

The municipal district Brno-jih is launching a design competition: Mariánské square and surroundings – a new centre for Komárov and issues these Competition Conditions

©MOBA studio s.r.o.

All rights reserved. Any republication, reprinting or distribution of any material or part of material of a textual or pictorial nature published in this document and its appendices is prohibited without prior permission. It does not apply to the sponsor of this competition.

Mariánské square and surroundings – new centre for Komárov Competition conditions

#### Abstract

Contracting authority	Municipal district Brno-jih (Brno-south)
Competition type	Design competition with preselection
Request for participation deadline	30. 5. 2025, 14:00
Proposal submission deadline	19. 9. 2025, 14:00
Prizes total	CZK 850.000,-
Electronic tool mandatory to use	https://www.vhodne-uverejneni.cz/
	registrace
Conditions of participation	
Professional qualification	Authorization to perform project activities in construction, as defined by the Czech Chamber of Architects – A3, A2, A1, or A0 (or equivalent foreign)

"Mariánské square and surroundings – new centre of Komárov" is an

one-phase urban design competition with preselection followed by commission, which is announced and will be conducted <u>in accordance with Czech law</u>, in particular:

- Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on Public Procurement, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act");
- Competition Rules of the Czech Chamber of Architects dated 24 April 1993, as amended;
- Act No. 283/2021 Coll., Building Act, as amended;
- Act No. 360/1992 Coll., on the Practice of the Profession of Authorized Architects and on the Practice of the Profession of Authorized Engineers and Technicians Active in Construction, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act on the Practice of the Profession");
- Act No. 121/2000 Coll., Copyright Act, as amended;
- Relevant provisions of Act No. 89/2012 Coll., Civil Code, as amended.

## **Approval of Competition Conditions**

#### <u>Jury approval</u>

The competition conditions were discussed by the jury at the constitutive meeting held on 9. 4. 2025. The conditions were subsequently approved by the jury via per rollam voting initiated on 17. 4. 2025.

#### Czech Chamber of Architects approval

The Czech Chamber of Architects issued a validation clause confirming the regularity of the competition conditions.

#### Contracting authority approval

The competition conditions were approved by the contracting authority at a meeting of the city council on 16. 4. 2025 by resolution no: 30/14/2025

# 1. Identification data

# 1.1. Contracting authority

Name ID Address

Represented by

**1.2. Public contract** Name

Type and mode Contracting authority's profile

# Municipal district Brno-jih

44992785 07 Mariánské náměstí 152/13, Brno-jih, Komárov, 617 00 Brno David Grund, mayor

#### Mariánské square and surroundings – new centre of Komárov below-threshold public contract for services

https://www.vhodne-uverejneni.cz/profil/statutarni-

mesto-brno-mestska-cast-brno-jih

#### 1.3. Administrator

Name ID Address Represented by Data box ID Elaborators of the competition conditions and competition brief

#### Moba studio s.r.o.

61459712 Turkmenská 1420/2, 101 00 Praha 10 Igor Kovačević, CEO mb5cici Kamila Dršata Katka Juříčková Šrámková Karin Grohmannová Yvette Vašourková Igor Kovačević

#### 2. Jury

## 2.1. Jury – dependent part

David Grund – mayor Jakub Holas – vice-mayor Jan Tesárek – director of the Brno City Architect 's Office (KAM) Lukáš Boula – vice-mayor, alternate Iva Paďourková – vice-mayor, alternate

#### 2.2. Jury – indenpendent part

Pavel Buryška – architect Eliška Málková – architect David Mateásko – architect Ondřej Tuček – architect Marie Gelová – landscape architect, alternate Jiří Kotal – architect, alternate

## 2.3. Auxiliary bodies of the jury

<u>Competition secretary</u> Igor Kovačević, MOBA studio s.r.o. *ask@cceamoba.cz* 

#### Proposal reviewer

Karin Grohmannová, MOBA studio s.r.o.

#### 2.4. Invited experts

During the course of the competition, the jury may request the contracting authority to engage experts.

#### 3. Prizes

#### 3.1. Total

The total amount for prizes is set at CZK 850.000,-.

#### 3.2. Prizes

First prize The first prize is set at CZK 300.000,-. Second prize The second prize is set at CZK 200.000,-. <u>Third prize</u> The third prize is set at CZK 150.000-. <u>Fourth prize</u> The fourth prize is set at CZK 100.000-. <u>Fifth prize</u> The fifth prize is set at CZK 100.000-.

#### 3.3. Redistribution or non-award of prizes

Under the conditions set forth in Section 12, § 2 of the competition rules of the Czech Chamber of Architects (ČKA), the jury may, in exceptional cases, decide on the redistribution or non-award of certain prizes.

#### 3.4. Reimbursement of costs

Participants are not entitled to reimbursement of costs related to their participation in the competition, except for the prizes described above.

#### 3.5. Loss of the right to prize payment

A participant selected to take part in the competition will lose the right to receive a prize if they fail to fulfil the obligations arising from these competition conditions.

#### 4. Design competition

## 4.1. Туре

4.1.1. By subject
The competition is announced as an urban design competition.
4.1.2. By range of participants
The competition includes a preselection stage.
4.1.3. By number of phases announced
The competition is announced as a one-phase competition.
4.1.4. By intention
The competition will be followed by a commission.

## 4.2. The competition will be anonymous.

#### 4.3. Competition language

The competition will be announced and conducted in Czech and English. All parts of the competition proposal must therefore be prepared in Czech or English; Slovak language is also accepted.

The competition conditions and competition brief are issued by the contracting authority in both Czech and English. In the event of any discrepancies, the Czech version shall prevail.

## 5. Competition brief

## 5.1. Subject of the competition

The subject of the competition is the urban design of Mariánské Square and its surroundings, with the aim of enhancing the area for people, particularly the local community.

Requirements for addressing the competition subject are defined in Annex P.01 – Competition Brief and are to be considered as recommended.

The competition brief is in line with the principles of responsible public procurement: minimising participation requirements to enable the involvement of small and medium-sized enterprises (principle of socially responsible procurement), promoting environmentally friendly solutions (principle of environmentally responsible procurement), and encouraging innovation through the use of recommended – not mandatory – design requirements (principle of innovation).

## 5.2. Purpose and aim of the competition

The purpose of the competition is to select and award the most suitable proposal that meets the contracting authority's requirements as stated in these competition conditions and documents.

The competition also serves as a basis for awarding prizes and selecting participants with whom the contracting authority will enter into a negotiated procedure without prior publication in accordance with §143(2) and §65 of the Public Procurement Act (hereinafter referred to as the "NPWP").

The aim is to find a partner capable of delivering a high-quality project, from design development to successful implementation.

## 5.3. Place of performance

The place of performance of the public contract is the registered office of the contracting authority and the location of the construction site.

## 5.4. Duration of performance

The expected duration of the follow-up public contract is 1.5 years.

#### 5.5. Estimated investment costs

Estimated investment costs are not specified, as this is an urban design competition. The area under consideration covers approximately 16.2 hectares.

# 6. Terms of participation

# 6.1. Participant

A competition participant may be either a natural or legal person, or a consortium thereof. The participant(s) shall be identified in the competition by completing Annex PP.01 – Identification Data. The entity identified in this way shall be the one with whom the contracting authority will negotiate during the NPWP and with whom the subsequent contract will be signed.

# 6.2. Conditions for participation and proof thereof

6.2.1. Conditions set out by the ČKA's Competition Rules

Each participant must meet the conditions set forth in § 3(2) of the Competition Rules of the Czech Chamber of Architects (detailed in Annex PP.02).

## 6.2.2. Basic eligibility

Each participant must meet the basic eligibility requirements in accordance with § 74 of the Act. The participant shall demonstrate basic eligibility under § 86(2) of the Act by completing Annex PP.02 – Sworn statement (as detailed therein). 6.2.3. Professional qualification

**a)** In accordance with § 77(1) of the Act, the participant must be <u>registered in the</u> <u>Commercial Register</u> or a similar register, where required by applicable legal regulations (this does not apply to natural persons or to legal persons based in countries where such registration is not required).

**b)** Under § 77(2)(a) of the Act, the participant must <u>hold a business licence</u> relevant to the subject of the competition, at a minimum for activities in the field of construction project design (this does not apply to those practising architecture as a liberal profession, or to those based in countries where such licensing is not required by law).

**c)** The participant must, pursuant to § 77(2)(c) of the Act, include among its team a person <u>authorised as an architect</u> under the Act on the Performance of Profession (Czech Chamber of Architects authorization levels A1, A2, A3 or A0), or authorised as an architect in accordance with the laws of the participant's country of origin.

The participant shall prove professional qualification under § 86(2) of the Act by completing Annex PP.02 – Sworn statement.

## 6.3. Proof of compliance by multiple natural persons in a consortium

Where the participant is composed of multiple natural persons, each must individually meet the requirements of the ČKA Competition Rules pursuant to section 6.2.1 and the basic eligibility requirements pursuant to section 6.2.2. Professional qualifications pursuant to section 6.2.3 must be met collectively, i.e., at least one member must fulfil the requirement.

## 6.4. Proof of compliance by multiple legal entities in a consortium

Where the participant is composed of multiple legal entities, each must individually fulfil the requirements of section 6.2.1, 6.2.2, and 6.2.3(a). Requirements under sections 6.2.3(b) and (c) must be met collectively, i.e., by at least one of the legal entities.

## 6.5. Proof of professional qualification via a third party

The participant may prove professional qualification pursuant to section

6.2.3 through reliance on a third party. The third party must commit in writing to cooperation with the participant and must meet the basic eligibility criteria and the requirements of the ČKA Competition Rules. A template for the Declaration of Commitment is included in Annex PP.02 (Sworn statement).

# 6.6. Proof of compliance by foreign participants

Foreign participants must demonstrate compliance with the participation requirements in accordance with the legal framework of their home country.

# 6.7. Entry in the List of Qualified Contractors

If the participant submits an extract from the List of Qualified Contractor pursuant to § 228 of the Act, this document shall substitute proof of:

a) basic eligibility under § 74 of the Act;

**b)** professional qualification under § 77 of the Act, to the extent documented in the extract.

The extract must not be older than 3 months as of the date on which eligibility or qualification is to be proven.

# 6.8. Certificate of a certified contractor

If the participant submits a certificate issued under the system of certified contractors pursuant to § 233 et seq. of the Act, this certificate may serve as proof of qualification to the extent specified therein. The maximum validity of such a certificate is one year from its date of issuance.

## 6.9 Consequences of failure to meet the conditions for participation

Should a participant fail to submit the required documents proving fulfilment of the conditions outlined in section 6.2, the contracting authority reserves the right to request clarification or submission of the documents through the electronic tool. If the participant does not provide the required documents within the specified time limit, they shall be excluded from the competition.

## 7. Competition documents

## 7.1. Availability

The competition conditions and their annexes (competition documents) are published on the contracting authority's profile from the date of the competition announcement.

Contact person for the competition

The contact person for competition participants is the competition administrator or the competition secretary: Igor Kovačević, *ask@cceamoba.cz* Registration in the electronic tool

Although the competition conditions and documents are freely accessible in the electronic tool, registration in the electronic tool is required to submit a proposal. This registration is free, but may require actions that could take several days. The contracting authority strongly recommends that participants register well in advance.

An electronic encryption key, if required, will be generated and published on the contracting authority's profile along with the competition documentation.

# 7.2. Q&A

A participant is entitled to request a written explanation of the competition conditions from the contracting authority – a question. The answer will be published with the question text, excluding the identity of the inquirer, on the contracting authority's profile. The contracting authority may provide an explanation without a prior request.

7.2.1. Submitting questions via the electronic tool

Participants may submit questions in writing through the electronic tool.

7.2.2. Submitting questions via Email

Participants may alternatively submit questions in writing to the administrator's email address (*ask@cceamoba.cz*) with the subject "MAB – QUESTION". **7.2.3.** Deadline for submitting questions

In accordance with § 144(2) of the Act, the contracting authority will publish the explanation of the competition conditions on the contracting authority's profile at least 14 calendar days before the deadline for submission of proposals. Therefore, questions may be submitted no later than three business days before the end of the 14-day period prior to the submission deadline for proposals.

# 7.3. Competition documents

7.3.1. Documents for elaborating the competition proposal

- P.01. Competition brief (pdf)
- P.02. Maps (dwg)
- P.03. 3D model (dwg)
- P.04. Orthophoto map (jpg)
- P.05. Participation outputs
- P.06. Related surveys and Studies (pdf)
- P.07. Balance sheet for filling in basic building indicators (xls)

7.3.2. Administrative documents

PP.01 Identification Data – template to fill in (pdf)

PP.02 Sworn Statement – template to fill in (pdf)

PP.03 Non-binding draft of the follow-up contract (doc) - only in Czech

PP.04 Non-binding price offer – template to fill in (xls) – will not be submitted to the jury; a new offer will be submitted in the NPWP.

7.3.3. Use of the competition documents

Participants in the competition undertake to use the documents only for deciding on participation in the competition and for preparing the competition proposal.

## 8. Schedule and process of the competition

## 8.1. Competition launch

The competition begins on the day the announcement of the competition for the proposal is sent to the Public Procurement Bulletin. This marks the start of the period for submitting proposals.

#### 8.2. Publication of the competition - electronic tool

The competition will be published on the contracting authority's profile, through which all communication between participants and the contracting authority, including the submission of the electronic part of the proposal, will take place. Registration in the electronic tool is required for participation in the competition (see section 1.2)

#### 8.3. Site visit

A site visit of the competition will not be organized.

## 8.4. Request to Participate

## 8.4.1. Format of the request

Applicants must submit a request to participate in the competition, providing their details, a maximum of 2 reference projects, and a sworn statement as proof of fulfilling the conditions for participation in the competition, following the PP.02 template (details on the request for participation are provided in section 13).

## 8.4.2. Method of submitting the request

The request should be submitted as a single PDF file named "MAB-Request-[participant's name]" via the electronic tool.

8.4.3. Deadline for submitting the request

The deadline for submitting requests to participate is 30.5.2025, 14:00, determined by the time of receipt by the electronic tool.

## 8.4.4. Late submission

If the request is not submitted within the specified deadline or in the manner prescribed in these competition conditions (i.e., via the electronic tool), it will not be considered submitted, and no further consideration will be given to it during the competition process.

## 8.5. Reduction of the number of participants

The contracting authority will assess the compliance of the request with the competition conditions and will reduce the number of participants based on the jury's opinion in accordance with § 111 of the Act.

The contracting authority will exclude participants whose requests do not meet the competition conditions and those not selected during the reduction process under § 111 of the Act.

## 8.6. Evaluation of requests to participate

The jury will evaluate the reference projects submitted in the request based on two criteria:

- The quality of the urban and landscape design solution;
- The quality of the reference projects in terms of sustainability and technical level of the solution.

## 8.6.1. Evaluation Method

Each participant's two reference projects will be assessed as a whole by the jury, according to the above criteria, without any hierarchy of significance and with equal weight. Each request to participate will be awarded a score from 0 to 20 points, with 20 points representing the highest degree of fulfilment. The total score reflecting the jury's expert opinion will determine the ranking and will categorize the requests into the four categories described below. Given that the evaluation criteria cannot be expressed numerically, and that the 0–20 point scale is used solely as a proportional expression of the degree of fulfilment of the criteria, requests to participate will be assessed by the expert jury based on the knowledge and experience of its members. While this evaluation will be carried out with maximum professionalism, it still represents the jury's subjective opinion. By participating, applicants agree to this proposed method of evaluation (including the participant preselection process).

**8.6.2.** <u>Categories of reference projects for jury evaluation of requests to participate</u>

#### Category 1 (20–18 points)

The participant's reference projects, in the expert opinion of the jury, demonstrate as a whole the highest level of urban design quality compared to those presented by other participants.

The spatial complexity, quality and diversity of public spaces and newly proposed developments, combined with sustainability, functionality, and flexibility, are entirely convincing in the context of the site and appropriate to the function and importance. The reference projects also exhibit very high aesthetic and technical quality.

The jury sees in the submitted request a guarantee that the participant will produce a competition proposal at the highest professional level. Category 2 (17–14 points)

The participant's reference projects, in the expert opinion of the jury, demonstrate a very high level of urban design quality overall, compared to other participants.

The spatial complexity, quality and diversity of public spaces and newly proposed developments, with sustainability, functionality, and flexibility ensured, are convincing and appropriate in the context of the site and function. The reference projects also demonstrate high aesthetic and technical quality.

The jury sees potential for the participant to deliver a very well-developed proposal.

Category 3 (13–10 points)

The participant's reference projects, in the expert opinion of the jury, show a high level of urban design quality compared to others.

The spatial complexity, quality and diversity of public spaces and newly proposed developments, while taking sustainability, functionality, and flexibility into account, are somewhat convincing and appropriate in the site's context. The reference projects demonstrate sufficient aesthetic and technical quality. The submitted projects offer a certain level of assurance for the quality of the proposal, but are not fully convincing in the context of the highest expected standards.

#### Category 4 (9-0 points)

The participant's reference projects, in the expert opinion of the jury, show a standard level of urban design quality compared to others.

The spatial complexity, quality and diversity of public spaces and newly proposed developments, even with consideration for sustainability, functionality, and flexibility, are not entirely convincing or fully appropriate in the context of the site and intended function. The reference projects demonstrate standard aesthetic and technical quality.

The submitted projects provide a limited level of assurance for delivering a proposal that meets the highest expectations of the jury and the contracting authority.

The jury will recommend that the contracting authority invite participants whose reference projects were categorized as Category 1 to submit competition proposals.

## 8.7. Invitation to submit a proposal

Based on the decision of the contracting authority, five participants will be invited to submit a proposal via the electronic tool on June 13, 2025.

## 8.8. Submission of the proposal

## 8.8.1. Submission method

The proposal will be submitted both electronically and in physical (printed) form. See the table on the last page of these competition conditions for further details. The physical submission has to be delivered to the address of the competition administrator:

Address:	MOBA studio s.r.o., U Půjčovny 953/4, 110 00 Praha 1
Phone:	+420 222 222 521
Office hours:	Monday to Friday 10:00 – 17:00,
	on the final submission day 10:00 – 14:00

All components of the printed submission will be enclosed in one package labelled "MARIÁNSKÉ SQUARE AND SURROUNDINGS, BRNO-JIH". The electronic submission of the proposal will be made exclusively through the

designated electronic tool of the contracting authority.

# 8.8.2. Submission deadline

The deadline for the submission of proposals is 19.9.2025, 14:00. This deadline applies to both the electronic and physical submission.

## 8.8.3. Late submission

If a competition proposal is not delivered within the deadline set forth in these conditions, the contracting authority will not consider the submitter as a participant in accordance with the Act, and the proposal will not be evaluated. The unopened printed submission will remain archived by the contracting authority and may be returned upon request.

# 8.9. Proposal check

A preliminary review of the proposals to verify compliance with the mandatory requirements specified in Article 14 of these competition conditions will be conducted by the proposal reviewer.

The reviewer will prepare a report on the preliminary review, which will be submitted to the jury. The secretary will ensure the anonymity of the participants by marking each proposal with a number, under which they will be known to the jury.

# 8.10. Jury evaluation meeting

The exact date of the evaluation meeting will be determined during the course of the competition. The jury will assess the proposals according to the quality criteria defined in these competition conditions. The jury will award prizes in accordance with these competition conditions.

# 8.11. Evaluation Report

The evaluation report shall include in particular the following:

- minutes of all jury meetings, including the results of all votes, taken by the secretary or another authorized member of the competition administration team; these minutes are verified by the signatures of all present voting jury members;
- decisions on the excluding proposals from consideration and a list of all proposals evaluated;
- -method and specification of how the evaluation criteria were applied;
- -assessment of all submitted proposals;
- -decisions on prize distribution, including justifications;
- written evaluation of each proposal in terms of fulfilment of the evaluation criteria as stated in Article 10.1 of the competition conditions;
- -attendance lists from all jury meetings;
- declarations by the jury members, secretary, examiner and experts that they are not biased and that they do not know the identity of the authors of the individual proposals.

The evaluation report is signed by all present voting jury members.

## 9. End of the competition

## 9.1. Decision and announcement of the competition results

9.1.1. Decision on the selection of the proposal

The contracting authority is bound by the jury's recommendation in accordance with Section 148(7) of the Act. The contracting authority will make its decision within 50 calendar days from the completion of the competition report as per Section 148(5). A reassessment may be ordered for reasons specified in Section 148(7).

#### 9.1.2. Notification of competition results

The contracting authority will notify all participants of the selected proposal via the electronic tool without delay (no later than 10 calendar days after the decision). The evaluation report will be attached.

## 9.2. Access to competition proposals

Fifteen days from the publication of the result in the electronic tool, all submitted proposals will be made available online at the administrator's website *www.cceamoba.cz*.

## 9.3 End of the competition

The competition officially ends when:

**a)** the deadline for submitting objections (Sections 241–244 of the Act) passes without any objections filed;

**b)** if objections are raised, the deadline for submitting a review request to the

Office for the Protection of Competition (Sections 251(2–3)) passes without a request being filed;

c) if a review request is filed under Section 251, the final decision confirms the cancellation of the competition under Section 263;

d) a final decision to cancel the competition under Section 263 takes effect.

## 9.4. Cancellation of the competition

The contracting authority has the right to cancel the competition. If so, they are obliged to compensate each participant who proves that their proposal was sufficiently developed before cancellation. Compensation will be a proportional share of the total prize pool (CZK 1,000,000), decided jointly by the contracting authority and the jury, with a maximum of CZK 170,000 per participant.

# 9.5. Prize payment

Prizes will be paid within 50 calendar days after the competition ends, as per Section 149(3). In case of cancellation, compensation will be paid within 50 calendar days of the cancellation date.

# 9.6. Proposal publication method

Proposals will be published online at *www.cceamoba.cz*. The contracting authority also intends to organize an exhibition of the competition proposals.

# 10. Evaluation criteria

## 10.1. Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria (in no particular order of importance):

- Quality of the urban design especially with regard to existing urban and natural conditions, emphasizing blue-green infrastructure and transport solutions
- —Sustainability use of resources, water and energy management, terrain and vegetation handling, and overall environmental responsibility of the proposal

## 10.2. Jury evaluation

The jury will assess the proposals according to the above criteria, drawing on the expertise and experience of its members. The evaluation reflects a professional but inherently subjective judgment. This approach is standard in design competitions, and by participating in the competition, participants agree to this evaluation method.

## 11. Follow-up negotiation and contract

## 11.1. Negotiated procedure without prior publication (NPWP)

In accordance with § 143 (2) and § 65 of the Act, the contracting authority will enter into negotiations with the participants awarded 1st, 2nd, and 3rd prize in the competition regarding the follow-up contract described below. Participants will be invited to submit offers in the order of their placement.

If no agreement is reached with any of the invited participants, the negotiated

procedure will be terminated.

11.1.1. Submission of an offer by the participant awarded 1st prize
The contracting authority will first invite the 1st prize winner to submit an offer. If a contract is concluded with this participant, the procedure ends.
11.1.2. Submission of an offer by the participant awarded 2nd prize
If no agreement on contract conclusion is reached with the participant awarded 1st prize, the contracting authority will invite the participant awarded 2nd prize to submit an offer. If a contract is concluded with this participant, the

procedure ends.

**11.1.3.** <u>Submission of an offer by the participant awarded 3rd prize</u> If no agreement is reached with the participant awarded 2nd prize, the contracting authority will invite the participant awarded 3rd prize to submit an offer. If a contract is concluded with this participant, the procedure ends.

## 11.2. Conditions for concluding the follow-up contract

## 11.2.1. Proof of eligibility

The participant who will be invited to conclude a contract on the basis of the NPWP shall submit to the contracting authority simple copies of documents proving their eligibility in accordance with § 122 (3) of the Act, as outlined in article 6 of these competition conditions.

If the selected participant is not a Czech citizen or does not have a registered office in the Czech Republic, they must demonstrate compliance with § 7 (1)(b) and § 30a of the Act on the performance of professional activities in construction. **11.2.2.** The contract will be drawn up in Czech.

# 11.3. Scope of the follow-up contract

The contracting authority intends to commission a technical study for the Mariánské square site and its surroundings, based on the competition outcome. The scope will at minimum include the following phases in line with updated architectural service standards:

- OVF Refinement of the competition proposal
- -1VF Additional surveys and analyses
- $-\,2\text{VF}\,$  Technical study design, participation in joint discussions, support during evaluation
- -3VF Stakeholder consultations
- -4VF Revisions after consultations, public presentation, feedback evaluation
- -5VF Revisions following public engagement

All sub-consultants and analyses, except spatial analytical documents, will be provided by the contractor. The contract may also include above-standard and specialist services, such as site surveys, measurements, public presentations, and cooperation with the contracting authority.

The technical study will serve as a base for the final regulatory urban study to be included in the official planning database and used for decision-making in the area.

It is possible that the same contractor may be invited to develop the follow-up urban study in a separate procurement procedure announced by the City of Brno.

## 11.4. Estimated value of the follow-up contract

The fee for the follow-up contract will be determined in the negotiated procedure based on the Czech Chamber of Architects' fee calculator and the indicative price offers submitted by participants.

The prize awarded in the competition will be considered as partial payment of the OVF phase and deducted from the overall contract value.

#### 12. Other provisions

#### 12.1. Copyright

**12.1.1.** <u>Protection of authors' rights between participant and contracting authority</u> Authors retain copyright to their competition proposals. After the competition ends, they may publish and reuse their designs freely.

12.1.2. Reproduction and exhibition of proposals

By submitting their proposals, participants agree to the free reproduction and exhibition of their designs for the purpose of promoting the competition and its results.

#### 12.1.3. Use of submitted entries

By submitting a proposal, participants grant the contracting authority the right to use their work for the purposes of this competition. Any use of the proposals or their parts beyond the scope of these competition conditions is subject to the authors' explicit consent.

#### 12.2. Dispute resolution

## 12.2.1. Objections

Participants may raise objections to the contracting authority's actions in accordance with Part Thirteen of the Act or the Rules for Architectural Competitions of the Czech Chamber of Architects (ČKA).

12.2.2. Review procedure

Requests for review of the contracting authority's actions must comply with §249 and following of the Act.

#### 12.3. Acceptance of competition conditions

**12.3.1.** <u>Acceptance by contracting authority, competition administrator, jury, and experts</u>

By participating in the competition, the contracting authority, the competition secretary, the proposal reviewer, jury members, and invited experts confirm they are familiar with all competition conditions and agree to abide by them as a binding agreement.

12.3.2. Acceptance by participants

By submitting a proposal, participants agree to all competition conditions.

## 13. Request to participate

## 13.1. Requirements for the Request to participate

13.1.1. Binding requirements

The following requirement is binding:

 $-\underline{timely\ submission}$  of the request in accordance with the

#### competition conditions.

Failure to meet this requirement will result in exclusion from evaluation by the jury and from the competition.

13.1.2. Content of the Request

The request shall be prepared on 7 landscape A3 pages and will include

the following:

<u>Page 1</u>:

- cover page with participant details name of the studio and contact information (the filled-in form PP.01 is sufficient; participants may design the layout freely)
- -optional additional content

<u>Page 2</u>:

- motivation letter answering the question: Why should the jury select you for participation in this design competition?
- the letter is expected to be no longer than 1,000 characters including spaces. Pages 3 - 6:
- a maximum of 2 reference projects (each presented across two pages), presented in a format and layout of free choice
- a reference project may be a completed realization, a project at any design stage, an urban study, or a competition entry.
- each project must include the following information: (1) Title and type (e.g., built, permitted project, competition entry, urban study with date of registration, etc.);
  (2) Author, team members, and collaborators (if applicable); (3) Location; (4) Date of the study/design/project and, if applicable, date of completed project phase; (5) Client / commissioning body; (6) Construction cost or estimated investment cost.
- the reference projects should be of comparable complexity. If the participant has a reference project that matches or closely relates to the subject of this competition, they are expected to include it. This will be taken into account during the evaluation of reference projects.
- <u>Page 7</u>:
- Sworn statement (filled-in form PP.02), in which the participant confirms they meet the eligibility criteria for the competition.
- If the eligibility requirements are fulfilled by individual team members, the number of pages may be increased accordingly by attaching additional sworn statements (form PP.02).

## Overview of all required parts of the request for participation

			electronic	physical
7 pages A3 format	1 2 3-4 5-6 7	Cover paged, participant's data Motivation letter Reference project 1 Reference project 2 Sworn statement PP.02	YES as PDF	NO

## 14. Competition proposal

#### 14.1. Requirements for the competition proposal

#### 14.1.1. Binding requirements

The following requirements are binding:

- <u>timely submission</u> of the competition proposal in accordance with the competition conditions;
- <u>anonymity</u> of the competition proposal as described below.

Failure to meet these requirements will result in disqualification from the evaluation by the jury and exclusion from the competition.

#### 14.1.2. Recommended Requirements

Requirements not mentioned in the previous point are considered as recommended, and failure to meet them will not result in the proposal being disqualified from evaluation or the participant being excluded from the competition.

It is strongly recommended that the proposal be submitted in full, both in scope and content, as defined by the following sections of this chapter. Incomplete submissions will not result in disqualification, but they will significantly complicate the jury's ability to compare and evaluate the proposal, and therefore diminish the participant's chance of success in the competition

#### 14.2. Parts of the competition proposal

- -Main presentation Panel
- -Detailed description Booklet
- -PP.01 Identification data
- PP.02 Sworn statement
- -PP.04 Non-binding price offer

#### 14.3. Main presentation – Panel

#### 14.3.1. Layout

The presentation will be arranged on 3 panels in B1 portrait format. In the lower right corner of each panel, there will be a blank space of 3 x 3 cm, which the reviewer will use to number the proposal. At the bottom of the panel, the name of the competition will be displayed: "MARIÁNSKÉ SQUARE AND SURROUNDINGS, BRNO-JIH".

#### 14.3.2. Form of submission

The panels will be submitted <u>both physically and electronically</u>. Physically, in paper form, printed and taped on a light board (foam board) for exhibition purposes. Electronically, via the electronic tool as JPG files with a resolution of at least 150 dpi. In case of any discrepancy between the physical and electronic versions, the physical version of the proposal will always prevail.

## 14.3.3. Recommended content

<u>Panel 1</u>

- —Site plan 1:1000, Nolli map;
- -Schwarzplan / figure-ground plan of the broader city centre;
- -axonometric view of the proposed area.

#### <u> Panel 2</u>

 2x sectional views of the proposed area and new development through the main public space at a scale of 1:500; -Sections of key street profiles;

- Diagrams of operation and function over time.

- Panel 3
- —Phasing plan;
- -Visualizations.

#### 14.4. Detailed description – Booklet

#### 14.4.1. Layout

The booklet will be arranged in A4 portrait format.

In the lower right corner of the cover page, there will be a blank space of  $3 \times 3$  cm, which the reviewer will use to number the proposal. The title page will include the name of the competition:

"MARIÁNSKÉ SQUARE AND SURROUNDINGS, BRNO-JIH".

#### 14.4.2. Form of submission

The booklet of the competition proposal will be submitted both physically and electronically. In physical form, printed and bound in at least 1 copy, preferably in 2 copies. Electronically, via the electronic tool as a PDF file with a resolution of at least 150 dpi.

14.4.3. Recommended content

- -cover page
- -schwarzplan 1:5000
- philosophy of the proposal
- description of the overall urban and landscape solution, including connections to the surrounding area
- $-\operatorname{diagrams}$  related to the urban and landscape solution
- description of basic movement principles in the area, including car, public transport, bicycle, and particularly pedestrian movement
- -diagrams related to the movement and circulation in the area
- description of basic transportation principles
- -transportation solution
- -description of the phasing and staging strategy, including diagrams
- -presentation of ecological sustainability
- -description of the social sustainability of the proposal
- $-\,description\,of\,the\,blue-green\,infrastructure\,strategy$  / water management

-completed balance sheet - see the template provided

The detailed description of the proposal is not limited to text form. It is recommended to supplement it with diagrams, sketches, and other explanatory outputs to help the participant best present the principles of their proposal to the jury.

## 14.5.PP.01 Identification data

The filled-in PP.01 Identification Data document will be submitted both physically and electronically. In electronic form as a single PDF file. In physical form, it will be submitted in an envelope, along with the other parts of the physical submission, all in one package. This document will not be presented to the jury.

#### 14.6. PP.02 Sworn statement

The filled-in PP.02 Sworn statement document will be submitted electronically only, as a single PDF file. This document will not be presented to the jury. The PP.02 Sworn statement has three parts:

- Part 1 Statement of meeting basic eligibility requirements and compliance with ČKA Competition Rules
- -Part 2 Statement of meeting professional qualifications
- Part 3 Written commitment in case professional qualifications are proven by another person

# 14.7. PP.04 Non-binding price offer

The completed PP.04 Non-binding price offer template will be submitted electronically only, as a single XLS file.

The non-binding price offer will have a guiding character for the contracting authority. This document will not be presented to the jury, but will serve as a benchmark for the subsequent NPWP.

## 14.8. Binding conditions for the anonymity of the competition proposal

Proposals will be presented anonymously. No part of the competition proposal (except as expressly stated in these Competition Conditions) may contain the name, address or any other graphic mark of the participant that could lead to their identification and breach of anonymity. Proposals that breach anonymity will be excluded from the competition by the contracting authority.

# Overview of all required parts of the competition proposal

		electronic	physical
3 Panels B1 format	Main presentation for both the jury and the public; communicates the core ideas of the concept and design as clearly as possible.	YES as JPG	YES
Booklet A4 format	Detailed description provides the jury with a deeper and more comprehensive understanding of the proposal, including diagrams, calculations, reasoning, and design explanations that do not fit on the panels.	YES as PDF	YES ideally 2 copies
PP.01 Identification data	Facilitates the pairing of physical and digital submissions and identifies the participant.	YES as PDF	YES
PP.02 Sworn statement	Serves to demonstrate the qualification of the participant		
PP.04 Non-binding price offer	Non-binding and indicative pricing of the subsequent contract	YES as XLS	NO

Mariánské square and surroundings – new centre for Komárov Competition conditions